

## TRANSLATING GUIDELINES TO PRACTICE

## Walter Stewart, Ph.D., MPH Geisinger Health System

Heal • Teach • Discover • Serve

Geisinger Health System Confidential and Proprietary

GEISINGER

## **Evidence To Practice**

- Current practice of translation with and w/o an EHR
- Challenges to effective use of knowledge
- A look to the future

#### **CPGs, EHR, and Computer Aided Clinical Decision Support (CDS)**

- Common applications of CDS
  - Diagnosis
  - Preventive care reminders
  - Disease management or protocols for bundles of reminders
  - Drug dosing/prescribing protocols
- 25% of studies are from 4 systems and not generalizable
- Simple point-of-care reminders or alerts
  - Rx or test orders, recommended care, documentation, avoidance of unnecessary care
  - <10% effective on process measures</p>
- CDS alerts fail on the most basic measure of utility
   Failure to use

#### **CPGs, EHR, and Computer Aided Clinical Decision Support (CDS)**

- Easy to blame the customer "They are just not cooperating"
- Proximal causes of alert
  - Poorly designed, poor on content
- Solution of the solution of th - Designed for sor nan a physician (e.g., qualit
  - Direct
- ice on CDS has not evaluated Mos
  - Maimained by large delivery systems with years of experience using EHRs

## **Translating Knowledge to Practice**



Virgin territory. High variability in practice within and across clinical practices.

# **Effective Use of Knowledge**

- Requires more than simply learning knowledge
- Numerous other contextual and iterative cognitive processes
  - Understanding context
  - Gathering the right data
  - Accessing the right knowledge
  - Applying knowledge to data
  - Interpreting options
  - Communicating options

## Digital Steps in Translating Knowledge to Practice

- Trigger: A decision to initiate a process

   Usually requires preliminary and actionable data
- Input data: In a form that is actionable
  - Need to know more about the patient to tailor options
- Apply rules to data to identify options
  - Options should be presented in an intuitively understandable form
  - Score options in relation to patient preferences
- Display of information
  - The review of **Options** should be a shared process

#### **Recent Efforts and Lessons Learned**

- Clinical applications
  - Cardiovascular Risk Management in Primary Care
  - Headache management in primary care
  - Back pain management in primary care
  - Rheumatology practice web tools
  - Future: Oncology care
- Lessons Learned
  - Utility, utility, utility
  - Learning in practice

#### What The Patient Has to Say Matters a Lot, but...

- An evidence based personalized trigger requires patient input in the form of data
- Obtaining data is difficult
  - Not enough time
  - Patients respond differently when a doctor asks
  - The doctor may not know what questions to ask
- Even with the right questions...
  - Translation varies
  - Documentation varies
- Computer assisted data capture tools address these challenges

- Why are you here?
- What do you have?
- What do you want?
- How are you doing?
  What are you taking?
  How is your medicine working?
- What are your risk factors
- What are your barriers to improving outcomes?
  - ... and the list goes on.

#### Patient Decision Aid and CVD Risk Management

- Web tool used by patients with elevated relative risk for heart attack
  - Focus is on modifiable risk factors
- Informed about options, risks, and benefits
- Patient makes decisi before seeing the
- Patient is decisio - Prior
  - benefit **1**0ice
- Results col anicated to doctor in real time



#### **Complex Conditions for Primary Care Providers**

- Complex conditions are common to primary care
   Depression, anxiety, back pain, migraine, etc
- Conditions are complex to manage because:
  - Detailed patient data (what do you have, how are you doing, is the treatment working) is usually required to optimize treatment decision making
  - Need to merge patient data with detailed knowledge of treatment guidelines
- Somewhat unrealistic demand and expectation for primary care physicians
  - Inadequate care and Overuse of care

# **Primary Care Decision Aid**

- Sophisticated patient questionnaire
  - Real time access of prescribed medications to create response options
- Requires extensive integration of databases
  - Patient data
  - Medications names
  - Evidence tables and more
- Rules engine processes patient data against codified guidelines
- Web table summary
  - Recommendation
  - Patient data



#### Primary Care Management of Chronic Low Back Pain

- Patient assessment questionnaire
  - Pain experience
  - Psychological assessment (e.g., fear avoidance, depression, anxiety, etc)
  - Preferences
- Web Display of Expert Advice
  - Forecasts patient phenotype
  - Foster shared discussion of data
- Automation
  - Ordering
  - Progress notes

#### LOW BACK PAIN EXPERT ADVICE

CATEGORY	EXPERT ADVICE	PLACE ORDER	PROGRESS NOTE
PROBABLE DIAGNOSIS		0	0
SERIOUS CONCERN (RED FLAG)		0	0
EVALUATION		0	0
PROGNOSIS	(Score or image scale)	0	0
RECOMMENDED MANAGEMENT PLAN		0	0
MEDICATION(S)		0	0

## **Integrated Visual Display Tools**

- EHRs do not offer sophisticated visual display capabilities
  - Rheumatology example
  - Internal growth in demand from all clinical specialties
- Web tool designed to interface with EHR
  - Patient reported data on outcomes during 90% of encounters
  - Outcomes tracking for shared discussion
  - Interactive tool that augments provider workflow
  - Automatically creating progress notes and patient after visit summary
  - Used by physician in more than 90% of visits

<b>Patient</b> JOHN DOE	<b>Age</b> 57	Sex M	MRNInsurance9876543Geisinger Health Plan		PCP Young	<b>PCP</b> YOUNG, DARA		<b>Today's Date</b> 9/29/2009	
OUTCOMES GENERAL OUTCOMES COMPOSITE	MONIT	ORING	DEMOGRAPHICS	BEST PRACTICE	TODAYS VISIT CONSTRUCTION	TODAYS VISIT NOTE	TODAYS VISIT AVS		
Diagnosis			Medication			History			
Rheumatic	Rheumatic		Current Meds Rheumatic			Med/Surg History			
Rheumatic Date of DX	Rheumatic Date of DX Duration		MTX Oral (dose in 2.5 mg)			GI Bleed/PUD	no		
733.00 05/13/2002	📕 7.3 ye	ars	Prednisone	, in 2.5 mg)		Renal Insuffi	ciency	no	-
	-					Maligancy		no	-
Rheumatoid 03/07/2000	🗓 9.5 ye	ears		m <b>—</b> — — 1	×	Gastric Byna	ement Iss	no	e la
Arthritis			Current Med	s Other					
			ASPIRIN 81 M	ASPIRIN 81 MG PO TABS			Social History		
			BD ULTRA-FIN	E LANCETS M	isc 💷	Work Status	;; retired		
			FOLIC ACID 1	ST VESTRP MG PO TABS	~	Occupation:	former plant	engineer	
					Home Status: Spouse				
			Previous DMARDs			Exercise:	1-2 times (	per week	
					<u>^</u>	Education Le	evel: <mark>14</mark>	¥	
Disparate					E.	ETOH:	No		
Disparate	TION	A	n		~	Smoking:	Quit		
FHR data	HON		<		>				
		_							
displayed		~	Update	Delete	Insert				
in a single									
actionable <sup>-s</sup>	Rheumatic Labs - Immune Xravs and Ancillary Tests								
actionable			Test Re	sult R	esult Date	Test	ResultD	)ate	T
		ANA <40 02/01/2000			CXR 02/23/2000				
	Dat	e							
RF 214 03,	/07/200	0							
Nodules Yes 🖌 🖸	. <mark>/01/2007</mark>								





<b>Patient</b> JOHN DOE		<b>Age</b> 57	Sex M	<b>MRN</b> 9876543	<b>Insura</b> Geisin	<b>nce</b> ger Health Plan	PCP an YOUNG, DARA		<b>Today's Date</b> 9/29/2009
OUTCOMES GENERAL	OUTCOMES COMPOSITE	MONIT	ORING	DEMOGRAPHICS	BEST PRACTICE	TODAYS VISIT CONSTRUCTION	TODAYS VISIT NOTE	TODAYS VISIT AVS	
RHEUMATOLOGY FOLLOW-UP VISIT SUMMARY For: John Doe									
During my visit to the Geisinger Rheumatology Clinic on 9/29/2009, I saw Natasha Smith, RN. This note summarizes my rheumatic problems and how I am doing.									
What Do I Have? My medical record indicates that I have the following rheumatic conditions:									
Rheumatoid Arthritis, or A chronic immune disease causing inflamed joints, and possibly affecting other body systems 💳									
Osteoporosis, or A disease of decreased bone strength and increased risk of fracture.									
How Am I Doing? We decide whether you are getting better by the measures summarized below that we decided were most important to you.									
MHAQ - The MHAQ score tells me how well I am able to move and do my daily functions. This score ranges from a low of 0 to a high of 10. A value of 0 means I am doing very well. A value of 10 means I am functioning poorly. Score Range: 0 to 10 My Goal: 1 My Score on 9/29/2009: 0.33 My Score on 5/1/2009: 0.33 Interpretation: My function score is about the same Goal Met: yes									
Pain - The having no Score Rang My Goal: 3 My Score o My Score o Interpretat Goal Met:	Pain score tell pain. A value o ge: 0 to 10 on 9/29/2009: 3 on 5/1/2009: 3 ion: My pain si yes	s me ho f 10 me 2 core is <u>c</u>	w much ans I am getting b	pain I am having. T having a lot of pai better	This score rar in.	nges from a low of	0 to a high of 1	.O. A value of O ı	means I am

#### What Should I Do?

#### **Future Development: Oncology Care**

- atie concologist and so the oncologist and s Growth in knowledge already exercise ability of oncologists to keep
- Best treatment options depends on:
  - Cancer stage
  - Patient 🖉 nophysiologic factors - Patie
- Dat
- *catment options are often in locally*  Best` available options for RCTs